

MEQ413	SEMINAR	CATEGORY	L	T	P	CREDIT
		PWS	0	0	3	2

Preamble: The course ‘Seminar’ is intended to enable a B.Tech graduate to read, understand, present and prepare report about an academic document. The learner shall search in the literature including peer reviewed journals, conference, books, project reports etc., and identify an appropriate paper/thesis/report in her/his area of interest, in consultation with her/his seminar guide. This course can help the learner to experience how a presentation can be made about a selected academic document and also empower her/him to prepare a technical report.

Course Objectives:

- To do literature survey in a selected area of study.
- To understand an academic document from the literature and to give a presentation about it.
- To prepare a technical report.

Course Outcomes [COs] : After successful completion of the course, the students will be able to:

CO1	Identify academic documents from the literature which are related to her/his areas of interest (Cognitive knowledge level: Apply).
CO2	Read and apprehend an academic document from the literature which is related to her/ his areas of interest (Cognitive knowledge level: Analyze).
CO3	Prepare a presentation about an academic document (Cognitive knowledge level: Create).
CO4	Give a presentation about an academic document (Cognitive knowledge level: Apply).
CO5	Prepare a technical report (Cognitive knowledge level: Create).

Mapping of course outcomes with program outcomes:

	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	PO9	PO10	PO11	PO12
CO1	2	2	1	1		2	1					3
CO2	3	3	2	3		2	1					3
CO3	3	2			3			1		2		3
CO4	3				2			1		3		3
CO5	3	3	3	3	2	2		2		3		3

Abstract POs defined by National Board of Accreditation			
PO#	Broad PO	PO#	Broad PO
PO1	Engineering Knowledge	PO7	Environment and Sustainability
PO2	Problem Analysis	PO8	Ethics
PO3	Design/Development of solutions	PO9	Individual and team work
PO4	Conduct investigations of complex problems	PO10	Communication
PO5	Modern tool usage	PO11	Project Management and Finance
PO6	The Engineer and Society	PO12	Life long learning

General Guidelines

- The Department shall form an Internal Evaluation Committee (IEC) for the seminar with academic coordinator for that program as the Chairperson/Chairman and seminar coordinator & seminar guide as members. During the seminar presentation of a student, all members of IEC shall be present.
- Formation of IEC and guide allotment shall be completed within a week after the University examination (or last working day) of the previous semester.
- Guide shall provide required input to their students regarding the selection of topic/paper.
- Choosing a seminar topic: The topic for a UG seminar should be current and broad based rather than a very specific research work. It's advisable to choose a topic for the Seminar to be closely linked to the final year project area. Every member of the project team could choose or be assigned Seminar topics that covers various aspects linked to the Project area.
- A topic/paper relevant to the discipline shall be selected by the student during the semester break.
- Topic/Paper shall be finalized in the first week of the semester and shall be submitted to the IEC.
- The IEC shall approve the selected topic/paper by the second week of the semester.
- Accurate references from genuine peer reviewed published material to be given in the report and to be verified.

Evaluation pattern

Total marks: 100, only CIE, minimum required to pass 50

Seminar Guide: 20 marks (Background Knowledge – 10 (The guide shall give deserving marks for a candidate based on the candidate's background knowledge about the topic selected), Relevance of the paper/topic selected – 10).

Seminar Coordinator: 20 marks (Seminar Diary – 10 (Each student shall maintain a seminar diary and the guide shall monitor the progress of the seminar work on a weekly basis and shall approve the entries in the seminar diary during the weekly meeting with the student), Attendance – 10).

Presentation: 40 marks to be awarded by the IEC (Clarity of presentation – 10, Interactions – 10 (to be based on the candidate's ability to answer questions during the interactive session of her/his presentation), Overall participation – 10 (to be given based on her/his involvement during interactive sessions of presentations by other students), Quality of the slides – 10).

Report: 20 marks to be awarded by the IEC (check for technical content, overall quality, templates followed, adequacy of references etc.).

MED415	PROJECT PHASE I	CATEGORY	L	T	P	CREDIT
		PWS	0	0	6	2

Preamble: The course ‘Project Work’ is mainly intended to evoke the innovation and invention skills in a student. The course will provide an opportunity to synthesize and apply the knowledge and analytical skills learned, to be developed as a prototype or simulation. The project extends to 2 semesters and will be evaluated in the 7th and 8th semester separately, based on the achieved objectives. One third of the project credits shall be completed in 7th semester and two third in 8th semester. It is recommended that the projects may be finalized in the thrust areas of the respective engineering stream or as interdisciplinary projects. Importance should be given to address societal problems and developing indigenous technologies.

Course Objectives

- To apply engineering knowledge in practical problem solving.
- To foster innovation in design of products, processes or systems.
- To develop creative thinking in finding viable solutions to engineering problems.

Course Outcomes [COs] :After successful completion of the course, the students will be able to:

CO1	Model and solve real world problems by applying knowledge across domains (Cognitive knowledge level: Apply).
CO2	Develop products, processes or technologies for sustainable and socially relevant applications (Cognitive knowledge level: Apply).
CO3	Function effectively as an individual and as a leader in diverse teams and to comprehend and execute designated tasks (Cognitive knowledge level: Apply).
CO4	Plan and execute tasks utilizing available resources within timelines, following ethical and professional norms (Cognitive knowledge level: Apply).
CO5	Identify technology/research gaps and propose innovative/creative solutions (Cognitive knowledge level: Analyze).
CO6	Organize and communicate technical and scientific findings effectively in written and oral forms (Cognitive knowledge level: Apply).

Mapping of course outcomes with program outcomes

	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	PO9	PO10	PO11	PO12
CO1	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2
CO2	2	2	2		1	3	3	1	1		1	1
CO3									3	2	2	1
CO4					2			3	2	2	3	2
CO5	2	3	3	1	2							1
CO6					2			2	2	3	1	1

Abstract POs defined by National Board of Accreditation			
PO#	Broad PO	PO#	Broad PO
PO1	Engineering Knowledge	PO7	Environment and Sustainability
PO2	Problem Analysis	PO8	Ethics
PO3	Design/Development of solutions	PO9	Individual and team work
PO4	Conduct investigations of complex problems	PO10	Communication
PO5	Modern tool usage	PO11	Project Management and Finance
PO6	The Engineer and Society	PO12	Lifelong learning

PROJECT PHASE I

Phase 1 Target

- Literature study/survey of published literature on the assigned topic
- Formulation of objectives
- Formulation of hypothesis/ design/ methodology
- Formulation of work plan and task allocation.
- Block level design documentation
- Seeking project funds from various agencies
- Preliminary Analysis/Modeling/Simulation/Experiment/Design/Feasibility study
- Preparation of Phase 1 report

Evaluation Guidelines & Rubrics

Total: 100 marks (Minimum required to pass: 50 marks).

- Project progress evaluation by guide: 30 Marks.
- Interim evaluation by the Evaluation Committee: 20 Marks.
- Final Evaluation by the Evaluation Committee: 30 Marks.
- Project Phase - I Report (By Evaluation Committee): 20 Marks.

(The evaluation committee comprises HoD or a senior faculty member, Project coordinator and project supervisor).

Evaluation by the Guide

The guide/supervisor shall monitor the progress being carried out by the project groups on a regular basis. In case it is found that progress is unsatisfactory it shall be reported to the Department Evaluation Committee for necessary action. The presence of each student in the group and their involvement in all stages of execution of the project shall be ensured by the guide. Project evaluation by the guide: 30 Marks. This mark shall be awarded to the students in his/her group by considering the following aspects:

Topic Selection: innovativeness, social relevance etc. (2)

Problem definition: Identification of the social, environmental and ethical issues of the project problem. (2)

Purpose and need of the project: Detailed and extensive explanation of the purpose and need of the project. (3)

Project Objectives: All objectives of the proposed work are well defined; Steps to be followed to solve the defined problem are clearly specified. (2)

Project Scheduling & Distribution of Work among Team members: Detailed and extensive Scheduling with timelines provided for each phase of project. Work breakdown structure well defined. (3)

Literature survey: Outstanding investigation in all aspects. (4)

Student's Diary/ Daily Log: The main purpose of writing daily diary is to cultivate the habit of documenting and to encourage the students to search for details. It develops the students' thought process and reasoning abilities. The students should record in the daily/weekly activity diary the day to day account of the observations, impressions, information gathered and suggestions given, if any. It should contain the sketches & drawings related to the observations made by the students. The daily/weekly activity diary shall be signed after every day/week by the guide. (7)

Individual Contribution: The contribution of each student at various stages. (7)

EVALUATION RUBRICS for PROJECT Phase I: Interim Evaluation

No.	Parameters	Marks	Poor	Fair	Very Good	Outstanding
1-a	Topic identification, selection, formulation of objectives and/or literature survey. (Group assessment) [CO1]	10	The team has failed to come with a relevant topic in time. Needed full assistance to find a topic from the guide. They do not respond to suggestions from the evaluation committee and/or the guide. No literature review was conducted. The team tried to gather easy information without verifying the authenticity. No objectives formed yet.	The team has identified a topic. The originally selected topic lacks substance and needs to be revised. There were suggestions given to improve the relevance and quality of the project topic. Only a few relevant references were consulted/ studied and there is no clear evidence to show the team's understanding on the same. Some objectives identified, but not clear enough.	Good evidence of the group thinking and brainstorming on what they are going to build. The results of the brainstorming are documented and the selection of topic is relevant. The review of related references was good, but there is scope of improvement. Objectives formed with good clarity, however some objectives are not realistic enough.	The group has brainstormed in an excellent manner on what they were going to build. The topic selected is highly relevant, real world problem and is potentially innovative. The group shows extreme interest in the topic and has conducted extensive literature survey in connection with the topic. The team has come up with clear objectives which are feasible.
			(0 – 3 Marks)	(4 – 6 Marks)	(7 - 9 Marks)	(10 Marks)
1-b	Project Planning, Scheduling and Resource/ Tasks Identification and allocation. (Group assessment) [CO4]	10	No evidence of planning or scheduling of the project. The students did not plan what they were going to build or plan on what materials / resources to use in the project. The students do not have any idea on the budget required. The team has not yet decided on who does what. No project journal kept.	Some evidence of a primary plan. There were some ideas on the materials /resources required, but not really thought out. The students have some idea on the finances required, but they have not formalized a budget plan. Schedules were not prepared. The project journal has no details. Some evidence on task allocation among the team members.	Good evidence of planning done. Materials were listed and thought out, but the plan wasn't quite complete. Schedules were prepared, but not detailed, and needs improvement. Project journal is presented but it is not complete in all respect / detailed. There is better task allocation and individual members understand about their tasks. There is room for improvement.	Excellent evidence of enterprising and extensive project planning. Gantt charts were used to depict detailed project scheduling. A project management/version control tool is used to track the project, which shows familiarity with modern tools. All materials / resources were identified and listed and anticipation of procuring time is done. Detailed budgeting is done. All tasks were identified and incorporated in the schedule. A well-kept project journal shows evidence for all the above, in addition to the interaction with the project guide. Each member knows well about their individual tasks.
			(0 – 3 Marks)	(4 – 6 Marks)	(7 - 9 Marks)	(10 Marks)

Phase 1 Interim Evaluation Total Marks: 20

EVALUATION RUBRICS for PROJECT Phase I: Final Evaluation

Sl. No.	Parameters	Marks	Poor	Fair	Very Good	Outstanding
1-c	Formulation of Design and/or Methodology and Progress. (Group assessment) [CO1]	5	None of the team members show any evidence of knowledge about the design and the methodology adopted till now/ to be adopted in the later stages. The team has not progressed from the previous stage of evaluation.	The students have some knowledge on the design procedure to be adopted, and the methodologies. However, the team has not made much progress in the design, and yet to catch up with the project plan.	The students are comfortable with design methods adopted, and they have made some progress as per the plan. The methodologies are understood to a large extent.	Shows clear evidence of having a well- defined design methodology and adherence to it. Excellent knowledge in design procedure and its adaptation. Adherence to project plan is commendable.
			(0 – 1 Marks)	(2 – 3 Marks)	(4 Marks)	(5 Marks)
1-d	Individual and Teamwork Leadership (Individual assessment) [CO3]	10	The student does not show any interest in the project activities, and is a passive member.	The student show some interest and participates in some of the activities. However, the activities are mostly easy and superficial in nature.	The student shows very good interest in project, and takes up tasks and attempts to complete them. Shows excellent responsibility and team skills. Supports the other members well.	The student takes a leadership position and supports the other team members and leads the project. Shows clear evidence of leadership.
			(0 – 3 Marks)	(4 – 6 Marks)	(7 - 9 Marks)	(10 Marks)
1-e	Preliminary Analysis/ Modeling / Simulation/ Experiment / Design/ Feasibility study [CO1]	10	The team has not done any preliminary work with respect to the analysis/modeling/ simulation/experiment/design/feasibility study/ algorithm development.	The team has started doing some preliminary work with respect to the project. The students however are not prepared enough for the work and they need to improve a lot.	There is some evidence to show that the team has done good amount of preliminary investigation and design/ analysis/ modeling etc. They can improve further.	Strong evidence for excellent progress in the project. The team has completed the required preliminary work already and are poised to finish the phase I in an excellent manner. They have shown results to prove their progress.
			(0 – 3 Marks)	(4 – 6 Marks)	(7 - 9 Marks)	(10 Marks)

1-f	Documentation and presentation. (Individual & group assessment). [CO6]	5	<p>The team did not document the work at all. The project journal/diary is not presented. The presentation was shallow in content and dull in appearance. The individual student has no idea on the presentation of his/her part.</p>	<p>Some documentation is done, but not extensive. Interaction with the guide is minimal. Presentation include some points of interest, but overall quality needs to be improved. Individual performance to be improved.</p>	<p>Most of the project details were documented well enough. There is scope for improvement. The presentation is satisfactory. Individual performance is good.</p>	<p>The project stages are extensively documented in the report. Professional documentation tools like LaTeX were used to document the progress of the project along with the project journal. The documentation structure is well-planned and can easily grow into the project report.</p> <p>The presentation is done professionally and with great clarity. The individual's performance is excellent.</p>
			(0 – 1 Marks)	(2 – 3 Marks)	(4 Marks)	(5 Marks)
Total		30	Phase - I Final Evaluation Marks: 30			

EVALUATION RUBRICS for PROJECT Phase I: Report Evaluation

Sl. No.	Parameters	Marks	Poor	Fair	Very Good	Outstanding
1-g	Report [CO6]	20	The prepared report is shallow and not as per standard format. It does not follow proper organization. Contains mostly Unacknowledged content. Lack of effort in preparation is evident.	Project report follows the standard format to some extent. However, its organization is not very good. Language needs to be improved. All references are not cited properly in the report.	Project report shows evidence of systematic documentation. Report is following the standard format and there are only a few issues. Organization of the report is good. Most of references are cited properly.	The report is exceptionally good. Neatly organized. All references cited properly. Diagrams/Figures, Tables and equations are properly numbered, and listed and clearly shown. Language is excellent and follows standard styles.
			(0 - 7 Marks)	(8 - 12 Marks)	(13 - 19 Marks)	(20 Marks)
Phase - I Project Report Marks: 20						